



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/026,311	12/21/2001	Marco Di Benedetto	ANDIP006	8021
22434	7590	02/10/2005	EXAMINER	
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP P.O. BOX 70250 OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250			BILGRAMI, ASGHAR H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2143	

DATE MAILED: 02/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/026,311	BENEDETTO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Asghar Bilgrami	2143	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 July 2002.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 10 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 21 December 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>06/10/2003, 07/23/02</u> | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Claim Objections***

1. Claim 10 is objected to because of the following informalities: A dependent claim (10) cannot depend on itself (10). For examining purposes examiner has assumed that Claim 10 depends upon claim 6. Appropriate correction is required.

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sicola et al (U.S. 6,601,187 B1)

4. As per claims 1, 13, 25, 26 & 27 Sicola disclosed a method for implementing high availability in a fibre channel switch in a storage area network, the method comprising:  
identifying a message at a first application running on an active supervisor in a fibre channel switch (col.4, lines 22-32); determining high availability characteristics associated with the

Art Unit: 2143

message, wherein high availability characteristics provide information for synchronizing a second application running on a standby supervisor in the fibre channel switch with the first application; providing the message to the second application running on the standby supervisor when high availability characteristics indicate that the message should be mirrored (col.4, lines 51-67 & col.5, lines 1-15).

5. As per claims 2, 3, 21, 24, 28 & 29 Sicola disclosed the method of claim 1, further comprising determining subscriber characteristics associated with the message, wherein subscriber characteristic provide information for determining which applications running on the active supervisor have subscribed to receive the message (col.4, lines 51-61).

6. As per claims 4, 20 & 30 Sicola disclosed the fibre channel switch of claim 19, wherein the message is copied into the first pending transaction buffer and a reference to the message is provided to a first plurality of applications running on the first supervisor (col.11, lines 27-42).

7. As per claims 5, 19 & 31 Sicola disclosed the method of claim 4, wherein providing the message to the plurality of subscribers comprises providing the subscribers with a reference to the message in the first supervisor shared buffer (col.11, lines 43-67 & col.12, lines 1-3).

Art Unit: 2143

8. As per claims 6 & 32 Sicola disclosed the method of claim 3, further comprising providing the message to a plurality of subscribers running on the standby supervisor (col.1, lines 12-29).

9. As per claims 7 & 33 Sicola disclosed the method of claim 6, wherein providing the message to the plurality of subscribers comprising copying the message to a second supervisor shared buffer (col.1, lines 12-29 & col.4, lines 51-61).

10. As per claims 8 & 34 Sicola disclosed the method of claim 7, wherein the message is copied to the second supervisor from the first supervisor asynchronously (col.12, lines 16-34).

11. As per claims 9 & 35 Sicola disclosed the method of claim 8, wherein an acknowledgement to the message is sent by the first application before the message is copied to the second supervisor (col.9, lines 47-53).

12. As per claims 10, 22 & 23 Sicola disclosed the fibre channel switch of claim 22, wherein the message is copied into the second pending transaction buffer and a reference to the message is provided to a second plurality of applications running on the second supervisor (col.10, lines 24-63).

13. As per claim 11 Sicola disclosed the method of claim 1, wherein high availability characteristics relate to mirroring, persistence, and logging (col.9, lines 21-32).

14. As per claim 12 Sicola disclosed the method of claim 11, further comprising maintaining a first supervisor transaction log if high availability characteristics indicate that the message should be logged (col.4, lines 22-32).

15. As per claim 14 Sicola disclosed the fibre channel switch of claim 13, wherein the first supervisor is further configured to send an advance notification associated with the message to the second supervisor before passing the message to the second supervisor (col.11, lines 43-63).

16. As per claim 15 Sicola disclosed the fibre channel switch of claim 14, wherein the advance notification is a sequence number associated with the message (col.11, lines 43-63).

17. As per claim 16 Sicola disclosed the fibre channel switch of claim 15, wherein the advance notification is transmitted synchronously before an acknowledgement is sent to the external fibre channel network entity (col.11, lines 43-63).

18. As per claim 17 Sicola disclosed the fibre channel switch of claim 16, wherein the message is transmitted asynchronously after the message is acknowledged (col.11, lines 43-63).

19. As per claim 18 Sicola disclosed the fibre channel switch of claim 17, wherein the second supervisor is configured to use the advance notification to verify the consistency of its internal state (col.11, lines 43-63).

Art Unit: 2143

***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Asghar Bilgrami whose telephone number is 571-272-3907. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:00-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wiley can be reached on 571-272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Asghar Bilgrami  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2143

AB

  
DAVID WILEY  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100